

Covid-19, Africa's conservation and trophy hunting dilemma

Wildlife conservation hasn't escaped the [impact](#) of the [Covid-19 pandemic](#). This is largely due to the fact that tourism funding, which supports the conservation of wide swaths of Africa and some [23 million livelihoods](#), has all but [dried up](#).

By [Duan Biggs](#), [Alexander Richard Braczkowski](#), [Alta De Vos](#), [Hayley Clements](#) 30 Jun 2020



With tourism revenue drying up due to Covid-19, the debate surrounding trophy hunting of wildlife species like African lions is more relevant now than before. Image: Alex Braczkowski

Wildlife-based tourism in Africa is worth approximately [\\$71bn](#) annually. Much of this funds the management of protected areas. For example, the protection of just one white rhinoceros at Kenya's Ol Pejeta Conservancy costs about [\\$10,000](#) each year.

Since the start of the pandemic, there's been [a cut](#) to funds for anti-poaching, surveillance and fence line management in most African reserves. Trophy hunting is a key source of this funding. It contributes an estimated [\\$200m](#) to economies across the continent annually.

Trophy hunting takes place across much of sub-Saharan Africa with South Africa, Namibia and Tanzania holding the [lion's share](#) of the market. The debate over its utility as a source of conservation revenue takes on a new urgency in the light of Covid-19.



ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES

How wildlife conservation could survive the devastating effects of Covid-19

Dr Duncan MacFadyen 20 May 2020

The industry is facing increasing pressure because it continues to be perceived by many to be grotesque and morally reprehensible. The viral images and stories of [Cecil the lion](#) (killed in 2015) have laid the foundation for a flurry of recent calls by NGOs and animal rights groups to [increase bans](#) on the import of hunting trophies. Some have even called for [outright bans on hunting](#).



Trophy hunting generates approximately \$200m for Africa's tourism economy. Image: Alex Braczkowski

In places like [Zambia](#) and [Botswana](#), these calls have resulted in temporary hunting bans. But proponents blanket bans often fail to consider the broader socio-economic and land use change [consequences](#).

[Our research](#) sought to understand how an outright ban on trophy hunting would affect landowners with hunting businesses in South Africa. We found that the majority (91%) of landowners that we interviewed believed that the economic viability of their private land and the biodiversity on it would be lost following a hunting ban. Landowners would move to either scale up eco-tourism on their land or change their land use from hunting to domestic livestock farming.

A trophy hunting ban could exacerbate biodiversity loss

We interviewed private landowners in South Africa who run trophy hunting operations in the Eastern and Western Cape provinces. We chose these provinces because they are [globally important](#) biodiversity hot spots. Some of the operators interviewed also run eco-tourism operations alongside hunting.

One of the questions we asked them was how they would respond to a ban of trophy hunting. We also ask them about eco-tourism as an alternative to trophy hunting.

Eco-tourism is commonly viewed as a viable [alternative](#) to trophy hunting. But our study found that only a third of the landowners said they would scale up or adopt eco-tourism in the face of a hunting ban. The remaining two-thirds believed such a transition to be unfeasible given financial constraints related to entering and competing in a saturated tourism market.

Of the landowners that didn't believe eco-tourism was a viable alternative, half said they would transition to livestock farming, retrench some staff and remove wildlife from their properties. The other half felt that they would have no viable alternative.

Our results have an important bearing on both conservation and sustainable development. They show that a trophy hunting ban could have a significant impact on the livelihoods of landholders and their employees.



There is also the danger that unintended consequences may cause a decline in wildlife populations. This is because it could result in a lower number of farmers who are running wildlife ranches, who play a large role in species conservation in the country. A [recent report](#) showed that South African private conservation land – [both formal privately protected areas and wildlife ranches](#) – covers about 14-17% of the country's land area. This is [over double](#) that of state-owned protected areas.



Dwindling funding from photographic safari tourism will likely impact on the conservation of species like this Shoebill stork in Entebbe, Uganda. Image: Alex Brackowski

Another crucial source of revenue is photographic tourism. But a study [done in Zimbabwe 12 years ago](#) showed that trophy hunting was more resilient than photographic tourism because hunters are more willing to travel under riskier circumstances than photographic tourists. These results suggest that hunters may be willing to travel again sooner in the face of the Covid-19 risk than photographic tourists.

In search of a pragmatic outcome

Advocacy groups promoting and pressuring policymakers to end all trophy hunting (as well as use and trade of wildlife) need to consider the potential ramifications of such bans. If an end to trophy hunting is the ultimate goal because of its moral unacceptability, the impact on conservation and community livelihoods that depend on hunting needs to be accounted for.

If conservation is indeed an important goal of those calling for an end to trophy hunting, alternative revenue streams and transition plans need to be developed for landholders and communities where hunting is a key source of income. This will sustain both conservation land use and livelihoods.

One way of achieving a more pragmatic outcome to the trophy hunting debate is to combine scientific evidence of likely outcomes together with different values towards hunting to [find acceptable solutions](#). Finding pragmatic ways forward that incorporate different values towards hunting is critical for rebuilding Africa's conservation sector after Covid-19.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Duan Biggs, senior research fellow, social-ecological systems and resilience, Griffith University. Alexander Richard Braczkowski, research associate, Griffith University. Alta De Vos, senior lecturer, Rhodes University. Hayley Clements, researcher, Stellenbosch University.

For more, visit: <https://www.bizcommunity.com>