

## Why central banks need to take human rights more seriously

Many central banks are rethinking their approach to the environmental and social impact of their operations. This is because their decisions can affect access to housing, healthcare, education, work, to adequate food and water and the security of their pensions.

By [Danny Bradlow](#) 15 Jul 2019



The Central Bank of Kenya has financial inclusion in its stewardship of the financial system. Shutterstock

For example, 36 central banks and banking supervisors, participate in the central bank and supervisors [network](#) for greening the financial system. The list of central banks includes Australia, Canada, China, England, France, Germany and Mexico.

The network's focus is on climate change. But this pushes them to pay more attention to other environmental and social considerations.

The precise mandates of central banks vary. But one thing they all have in common is responsibility for maintaining price stability. Their primary policy tools for achieving this objective are interest rates, the capital and reserve requirements for banks, and trading debt instruments in financial markets.

Central banks also act as a lender of last resort to the banking system or more generally to the financial sector. They regulate and supervise the activities of banks and other financial institutions. They manage the country's payment system, maintain financial stability and manage the country's foreign exchange reserves. In some countries, the central bank can be given additional responsibilities such as promoting development finance or financial inclusion.

To fully understand the risk that climate poses to price and financial stability, central banks need to consider how changing weather patterns will affect a number of variables. These include food production, migration patterns, and people's access to food, water, housing, and jobs, and how these, in turn, influence aggregate demand, credit allocation, inflation and government deficits.

In other words, as I explain [in more detail in this article](#), central bankers are being inexorably pushed to

reconsider the relationship between central banking and human rights.

## The connections

The mandates, powers, and governance arrangements of all central banks are established by law. They must comply with all the applicable law including the constitutional and international legal obligations of their home states. In principle this means that central banks are bound by the international human rights commitments of their sovereigns.

Historically, central banks have been able to avoid dealing with human rights issues. This is because the political leadership determines the price stability goal for the economy and then lets the central bank decide how to meet this inflation objective.

This arrangement suggests that the central bank's independence is limited to the technical issues relevant to achieving the goal set by the government. In addition, it assumes, at least implicitly, that the social and environmental implications of the country's monetary and financial goals are the government's responsibility.

However, in reality central banking is not a purely technical function. For example, the social and environmental impact of its decision to change interest rates will vary depending on how it implements the decision. If the central bank decides to change interest rates through open market operations the social and environmental impacts will depend on which instruments it chooses to trade – and in what proportions. On the other hand, these effects will depend on the decisions of banks if it implements the decision by changing the reserve requirements or the interest rate it charges banks for short term loans.

There are some noteworthy examples. The central banks of [Kenya](#), the Netherlands and the [Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco](#) consider factors such as community development and financial inclusion in their stewardship of their financial systems. And the Dutch central bank now has a mandate to include [sustainability](#) in its decision making. The [Chinese central bank](#) has been authorised to take climate considerations into account in its monetary decisions.

Central bank operations, therefore, cannot avoid affecting human rights.

## The human rights responsibilities

The unavoidable impact of central banking operations on human rights means that central banks have to develop a better understanding of their human rights responsibilities. The applicable law is the starting point. But the relevant law and jurisprudence is unlikely to provide detailed guidance on how central banks should interpret and implement their human rights responsibilities.

A good reference tool for the central bank is the [UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights](#). These principles stipulate that all businesses should have a human rights policy. The policy should be publicly available and should be applicable to all the business's operations and decision-making.

The principles also state that businesses should conduct adequate human rights due diligence before and during their decision making and implementation process. This requirement means that they should conduct human rights impact assessments of their proposed operations. They should also take steps to avoid or mitigate the identified adverse human rights impacts.

Central banks will face particular challenges in meeting their human rights responsibilities. Their instruments

independence means that their human rights policy will need to be respectful of the central bank's independence and its mandate.

At the same time, the central bank needs to be cognisant of the fact that its human rights policy may have implications for other state entities and for the country's political leadership. These considerations complicate but do not render impossible the task of drafting a central bank human rights policy.

Their ability to conduct detailed human rights impact assessments will be complicated by the relative speed and discretion with which they must often operate. This does not, however, make it impossible for them to assess their impact on human rights. Instead, it suggests that central banks need to develop and maintain sufficiently detailed general and ongoing understanding of the actual impact of their operations on human rights. This is so that they can make informed judgements about the likely effects of their proposed monetary decisions on specific communities.

This disaggregated approach should provide central banks with a detailed and nuanced understanding of how their policies actually affect different sub-groups of their society. By doing this it should enable central banks to determine the true costs and benefits of their policies and actions. This should improve their decision-making.

## **Conclusion**

This analysis demonstrates three key points. First, it is becoming untenable for central banks to avoid incorporating their human rights impacts into their decision-making and operations. Second, a human rights approach offers central banks a new tool for understanding the true costs and benefits of their operations. Third, central banks can meet their human rights responsibilities without compromising the independence they need to meet their monetary and financial responsibilities.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

## **ABOUT THE AUTHOR**

Danny Bradlow, SARCHI professor of international development law and African economic relations, *University of Pretoria*

For more, visit: <https://www.bizcommunity.com>